

## Dapu families win court decision

Written by Taipei Times

Saturday, 04 January 2014 09:13 -

---



Residents of Dapu Borough react to a Taichung High Administrative Court ruling that the demolition of houses by the Miaoli County Government in July last year was illegal.

**Photo: George Tsong, Taipei Times**

Residents of Miaoli County's Dapu Borough (大埔) erupted in tears yesterday after the Taichung High Administrative Court ruled that the county government had illegally destroyed houses belonging to four families last year.

The court said the Ministry of the Interior had failed to conduct a review of a project submitted by the Miaoli County Government to demolish the private homes in Dapu to make way for a science park before approving the project.

The partial or complete demolition of homes belonging to the Chang (張), Chu (朱), Ko (高) and Huang (黃) families was therefore unlawful, the court said.

The very emotional Dapu residents and their supporters were quick to demand apologies from the government, reconstruction of their homes and revision of laws governing land expropriation.

"Miaoli County Commissioner Liu Cheng-hung (劉成雄), as well as every official in the county and central governments who made the decision to flatten our houses, should apologize to us, not only through the media, but by making house-to-house apologies in person," said Peng Hsiu-chun (彭秀春), the wife of Chang Sen-wen (張森文), who committed suicide last year after their home was demolished.

## Dapu families win court decision

Written by Taipei Times

Saturday, 04 January 2014 09:13 -

---

“Liu should return our land to us and rebuild our homes to their original state, but even that is not enough, because he can never bring my husband back to life,” Peng told a press conference in Taipei.

Huang Chiu-hung (黃秋興) tearfully recounted how her family suffered over the past few years, and how she has lived in fear that her mother might carry out her comments about committing suicide.

“The county government has destroyed the front yard of our house, and dumped rocks and debris on our farmland. I want it to rebuild everything and restore everything to its original state,” she said.

Ko Chih-chuan (柯志強) said he appreciated the verdict, but was not happy.

“I feel no joy in winning the lawsuit, because we have a long way to go in our struggle to amend the Land Expropriation Act (土地徵收條例),” Ko said. “If the law is not revised, there will be more tragedies like the one we have suffered. We must stand up against the evil law.”

Even Liao Pen-chuan (廖建強), an associate professor at National Taipei University’s real estate and built environment department, who had appeared so strong in previous public appearances, started to cry as he talked during the press conference.

“It is hard not to be emotional if you have been following the issue for a long time,” he said. “Besides asking the government to restore everything to its original state, we should also pursue efforts to change the system and pursue the county commissioner, the ministry and the Executive Yuan who were responsible [for the destruction].”

Asked if they would seek compensation from the government, Thomas Chan (陳國治), an attorney representing the residents, said his clients were unlikely to do so unless the money came directly from the pockets of the officials involved.

## Dapu families win court decision

Written by Taipei Times

Saturday, 04 January 2014 09:13 -

---

“If the compensation will come from taxpayers’ pockets, we would rather not ask for it,” he said.

At a separate press conference later in day, Deputy Minister of the Interior Hsiao Chia-chi (許介顯) insisted the ministry had conducted thorough reviews of the project numerous times before approving it, “but we would respect the different view of the judge.”

“The fact that the court ruled in favor of nine plaintiffs belonging to four families, but rejected the lawsuit filed by 19 others, shows that overall the project is necessary and beneficial to the public,” Hsiao said.

He said the ministry would wait until it receives the verdict in writing and discusses it with the Miaoli County Government before deciding whether to file an appeal.

Activists demonstrating in the lobby of the ministry said Hsiao was trying to confuse the public.

“The court rejected the other lawsuits not because the judge believed that the project is necessary and beneficial to the public, but, as the court statement indicated, the 19 other plaintiffs agreed to compensation measures proposed by the county government,” Taiwan Rural Front member Lin Le-xin (林樂欣) said.

Source: [Taipei Times - 2014/01/04](#)