Maintaining 'status quo' like walking the tightrope

Written by James Wang [] [] Monday, 27 April 2015 07:46

As Democratic Progressive Party (DPP) Chairperson Tsai Ing-wen ([] [] []) prioritizes reforms to domestic policy and vows to make the "status quo" the focus of her cross-strait policy if she wins the presidential election next year, some people seem eager to play the "definition game" and are coaxing her into clarifying her definition of the "status quo."

Sixty-five percent of Taiwanese say they find the idea of maintaining the so-called "status quo" in cross-strait relations acceptable, showing that a majority of the public share a basic understanding of what the "status quo" means: non-governmental exchanges between the two sides of the Taiwan Strait, but with no political relationship that gives either side jurisdiction over the other.

Taiwan certainly has no shortage of the prerequisites for defining a nation, and a vast majority of Taiwanese also recognize Taiwan as a nation. Everyone is well aware that the international community does not recognize the importance of maintaining the "status quo," that the reason for this is based on these nations' concerns for their own interests and that this is not something that Taiwan can change.

Tsai's vow to maintain the "status quo" is not an attempt to resolve the cross-strait issue, but rather it is a response to public opinion and a matter of considering the concerns of friendly nations. If great importance was placed on providing a clear and explicit definition of the "status quo," it would become useless as a tool for responding to changes in international relations.

There can in reality only be one cross-strait "status quo," but Taiwan cannot stop others from having their own interpretation, as that interpretation itself is the core of the ongoing dispute.

To say that one wants to maintain the cross-strait "status quo" is compatible with the US' opposition to unilaterally changing the "status quo." Although the US' stance remains positive, it should review and adjust its Taiwan policy based on the actual situation, legal concerns and democratic practices, and formally recognize the "status quo" of Taiwan's democratic evolution.

On the other hand, China has nothing to lose in terms of maintaining the "status quo." It would likely just issue a warning that if the DPP were to continue to insist on "Taiwan separatism," as

Maintaining 'status quo' like walking the tightrope

Written by James Wang [] [] Monday, 27 April 2015 07:46

it did under former president Chen Shui-bian ($\square \square \square$), it would be "impossible to resolve" the cross-strait situation.

Statements like this are tantamount to admitting that cross-strait relations are a matter of cross-party relations between the Chinese Nationalist Party (KMT) and the Chinese Communist Party (CCP), with Beijing warning Taiwan not to follow in the footsteps of Chen, and insisting that Taiwan follow the lead of President Ma Ying-jeou (DDD), the KMT and the CCP.

Relying on the restrictions placed on Taiwan by the US, CCP and KMT elites are colluding in an attempt to form a "mutual agreement" by which Taiwan can be annexed, hence changing the "status quo."

Nevertheless, faced with a strengthened Taiwanese local consciousness and the possibility of the DPP regaining power, Chinese President Xi Jinping (□□□) should know that KMT-CCP relations, which are not to the liking of a majority of Taiwanese, are likely to backfire.

James Wang is a media commentator.

Translated by Zane Kheir

Source: Taipei Times - Editorials 2015/04/27